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If $u$ is an entire harmonic function of exponential type less than $\pi$ satisfying $u(n, 0)=u_{y}(n, 0)=0$ for all integers $n$, then it follows from a result of Zeilberger that $u$ is identically zero. The main result in this article is to give a series representation of any entire harmonic function $u$ of exponential type $\tau \leqslant \pi$ with $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{y}(x, 0)$ in $L^{p}(-\infty, \infty)$, for some $p>0$, in terms of the values $u(n, 0)$ and $u_{y}(n, 0), n=0,1, \ldots$. A method of construction of a basis is given. This technique can be extended to other related problems.

## 1. Introduction and Results

An entire harmonic function $u(x, y)$ is a real-valued function, harmonic in the plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. It is an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\tau$, if $|u(x, y)| \leqslant e^{(\tau+\epsilon)|(x, y)|}$ for all large values of $|(x, y)|=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}$ where $\epsilon>0$ is arbitrary. By Carathéodory's inequality $[4 ; p .3], u(x, y)$ is entire harmonic of exponential type $\tau$ if and only if $u(x, y)=\operatorname{Ref}(z), z=x+i y$, where $f$ is an entire (analytic) function of exponential type $\tau$. In [3], Boas pointed out that at least we need to know the function values on a twodimensional set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in order to uniquely determine an entire harmonic function. For instance, the function $u(x, y)=y$ is zero for all $y=0$. He also proved in [3] that if $u(x, y)$ is entire harmonic and of exponential type type $\tau<\pi$, then $u(x, y) \equiv 0$ if it is zero at the lattice points $(n, 0)$ and $(n, 1)$, $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. Several interesting problems were posed in [3]. One of these problems was to construct $u(x, y)$ from its values at these lattice points. This question was answered by Ching and Chui in [5], in which $u(x, 0)$
and $u(x, 1)$ are both assumed to belong to $L^{2}(-\infty, \infty)$. Later, Anderson proved the convergence of the series representation introduced in [5] under weaker hypotheses on $u(x, 0)$ and $u(x, 1)$. Another problem in [3] was to generalize the uniqueness results in [3] to higher dimensions. This was done in [2, 7]. As a consequence, it follows that the values $u(n, 0)$ and $u_{y}(n, 0)$, $n=0,1, \ldots$, on a one-dimensional set are sufficient to determine $u$ provided that the type of $\tau$ of $u$ is less than $\pi$. That is, the following result is obtained.

Proposition 1. Let $u(x, y)$ be an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\tau<\pi$ such that $u(n, 0)=u_{y}(n, 0)=0, n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. Then $u(x, y) \equiv 0$.
This result is sharp in the sense that $\tau<\pi$ cannot be replaced by $\tau \leqslant \pi$, because of the example $\left(c_{1} e^{\pi y}+c_{2} e^{-\pi y}\right) \sin \pi x$. We also remark that the function $u(x, y)=y$ satisfies $u(n, 0)=u_{x}(n, 0)=0$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \ldots$, so that the hypotheses on the normal derivative $u_{y}$ cannot be replaced by that on the tangential derivative. We will give a very simple functiontheoretic proof of this uniqueness result. This proof will also facilitate our derivation of our series representation theorem.
Note that the functions

$$
\alpha_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cosh t y e^{i t(x-n)} d t
$$

and

$$
\beta_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sinh t y}{t} e^{i t(x-n)} d t
$$

are entire harmonic functions of exponential type $\pi$ and satisfy

$$
\alpha_{n}(m, 0)=\delta_{m, n}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \alpha_{n}(m, 0)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{n}(m, 0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \beta_{n}(m, 0)=\delta_{m, n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $m$ and $n$. We use these functions as a basis to solve the representation problem. Namely, we have the following result,

Theorem 1. Let $u(x, y)$ be an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\leqslant \pi$ such that $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{\nu}(x, 0)$ belong to $L^{n}(-\infty, \infty)$, for some $p$, $0<p<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, y)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u(n, 0) \alpha_{n}(x, y)+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u_{y}(n, 0) \beta_{n}(x, y), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the series converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

We emphasize that while Proposition 1 holds only for entire harmonic functions of exponential type less than $\pi$, Theorem 1 holds for those of type $\leqslant \pi$. Of course, for $y=0$ the function $\left(c_{1} e^{\pi y}+c_{2} e^{-\pi y}\right) \sin \pi x$ is not in $L^{p}, 0<p<\infty$. This example also shows that $0<p<\infty$ cannot be replaced by $p=\infty$ in Theorem 1. For $p=2$, following Ching and Chui [5], it can be proved that the convergence of the series in (2) is uniform on every strip $|y| \leqslant K<\infty$. We will give a method of construction of the basis functions $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$. This method can be extended to other related problems.

## 2. Proof of the Results

Let $u(x, y)$ be an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\tau<\pi$ such that $u(n, 0)=u_{y}(n, 0)=0, n=0, \pm 1, \ldots$. We have to prove that $u(x, y) \equiv 0$. Let $v(x, y)$ be a harmonic conjugate of $u(x, y)$ and $f(z)=u(z)+i v(z)$. Also, set $F(z)=f(z)+\overline{f(\bar{z})}$. Then $F(z)$ is an entire function of exponential type $\tau<\pi$ and reduces to $2 u(x, 0)$ on the real axis. Thus, $F(z)$ vanishes at all the integers. By Carlson's theorem, $F(z)$ vanishes identically. Now, consider the entire function $G(z)=f^{\prime}(z)-\overline{f^{\prime}(\bar{z})} . G(z)$ is of exponential type $\tau<\pi$ and reduces to $2 i v_{x}(x, 0)$ on the real axis. But $v_{x}(x, y)=-u_{y}(x, y)$ so that $G(x)=-2 i u_{y}(x, 0)$. But $u_{y}(n, 0)=0, n=0, \pm 1, \ldots$, yields $G(n)=0$, $n=0, \pm 1, \ldots$ Again by Carlson's theorem, $G(z)$ is identically zero.

From the identities $F(z)=G(z)=0$ and using the Cauchy-Riemann equations, we have $u_{x}(x,-y)=-u_{x}(x, y)$ and $u_{x}(x,-y)=u_{x}(x, y)$, so that $u_{x}(x, y)$ is constant for fixed $y$. Similarly, we also have $u_{y}(x,-y)=u_{y}(x, y)$ and $u_{y}(x,-y)=-u_{y}(x, y)$ so that $u_{y}(x, y)$ is also constant when $y$ is fixed. Hence by a standard argument, we have $u(x, y)=c_{1} y+c_{2}$ for some constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$. But the hypothesis $u(0,0)=u(1,0)=0$ implies that $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, or $u(x, y) \equiv 0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 1. To prove the representation theorem, we first give a method of construction of the basis functions $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$.

In view of the basis functions obtained in [5], it is natural to consider the basis functions $\alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{n}$ of the form

$$
h_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t, y) e^{i t(x-n)} d t .
$$

If $h_{n}$ is entire harmonic, then the kernel $k(t, y)$ must satisfy the equation $k_{y y}-t^{2} k=0$ for all $t$ and $y$. Fix $t$ and consider $K(\cdot)=k(t, \cdot)$ as a function of the second variable. By choosing $k(t, 0) \equiv 1$, then $h_{n}(m, 0)=\delta_{m, n}$ already. Hence, if we require $\partial h_{n}(m, 0) / \partial y=0$ for all $m$, we have

$$
\int_{\pi}^{\pi} k_{y}(t, 0) e^{i t j} d t=0
$$

for all integers $j$, so that $k_{y}(t, 0)=0$. That is, we have the initial valued problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
K^{\prime \prime}-t^{2} K=0 \\
K(0)=1, \quad K^{\prime}(0)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

The solution is $k(t, y)=K(y)=\cosh t y$. That is, the basis function $x_{n}(x, \because)$ satisfying $x_{n}(m, 0)=\delta_{n, m}$ and $\partial \alpha_{n}(m, 0) / \partial y=0$ for all $m$ is

$$
\alpha_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cosh \eta y e^{i t(x-n)} d t
$$

Similarly, the basis function $\beta_{n}(x, y)$ satisfying $\left.\beta_{n}(m, 0)=0, \partial \beta_{n}(m, 0) / \hat{\sigma}\right\}=$ $\delta_{m, n}$ for all $m$ is obtained to be

$$
\beta_{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\sinh t y}{t} e^{i t(x-n)} d t .
$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, we need several lemmas.
Lemma 1. For all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $n \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|x_{n}(x, y)\right| \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+|x|+|y|\right) e^{\pi|y|}|n|^{-1}  \tag{3}\\
& \left|\beta_{n}(x, y)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{\pi}+\pi|y|+y^{2}+|x y|\right) e^{\pi|y|}|n|^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\left|\alpha_{0}(x, y)\right| \leqslant e^{\pi|y|},\left|\beta_{0}(x, y)\right| \leqslant|y| e^{\pi|y|}$.
Let $z=x+i y$ be fixed and consider the functions $j(t)=e^{i t x} \cosh t y$ and $k(t)=e^{i t x} \sinh t y / t$. Then $\alpha_{n}(x, y)$ and $\beta_{n}(x, y)$ are the $n$th Fourier coefficients of $j(t)$ and $k(t)$, respectively. We first prove that for all $|t| \leqslant \pi$, $j(t)$ and $k(t)$ satisfy the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& |j(t)| \leqslant e^{\pi|y|},  \tag{5}\\
& \left|j^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant(|x|+|y|) e^{\pi|y|},  \tag{6}\\
& |k(t)| \leqslant|y| e^{\pi|y|}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|k^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\pi|y|+y^{2}+, x y \mid\right) e^{\pi i y \mid} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inequalities (5) and (6) are immediate from the definitions of $j(t)$. For $|t| \leqslant \pi$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\sinh t y}{t}\right| & =\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t y)^{2} p}{(2 n+1)!}\right||y| \\
& \leqslant|y| \cosh |t y| \leqslant|y| e^{\pi|:!|} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

which gives (7). To prove (8), we set $l(t)=\sinh t y / t$ and note that $l^{\prime}(t)=$ $(t y \cosh t y-\sinh t y) / t^{2}$. Hence, for $1 \leqslant|t| \leqslant \pi,\left|l^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant(\pi|y|+1) e^{\pi|y|}$, and for $|t| \leqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|l^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\frac{1}{t^{2}}\left|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t y)^{2 n+1}}{(2 n)!}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t y)^{2 n+1}}{(2 n+1)!}\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{t^{2}}\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 n}{(2 n+1)!}(t y)^{2 n+1}\right| \\
& \leqslant|y|^{2}|\sinh | t y| | \leqslant y^{2} e^{|y|} \leqslant y^{2} e^{\pi|y|}
\end{aligned}
$$

These estimates give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|l^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant\left(1+\pi|y|+y^{2}\right) e^{\pi|y|} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (9) and (10), we therefore have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|k^{\prime}(t)\right| & \leqslant|x||l(t)|+\left|l^{\prime}(t)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left(x y|+1+\pi| y \mid+y^{2}\right) e^{\pi|y|}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is (8). We now return to derive the estimates for $\alpha_{n}(x, y)$ and $\beta_{n}(x, y)$. The estimates for $n=0$ are trivial. For $n \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\alpha_{n}(x, y)\right|= & \left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} j(t) e^{-i n t} d t\right| \\
\leqslant & |\sin \pi(n-x) \cosh \pi y / \pi n| \\
& +\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi n} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} j^{\prime}(t) e^{-i n t} d t\right| \\
\leqslant & \left(\frac{1}{\pi}+|x|+|y|\right) e^{\pi \mid y!} /|n|
\end{aligned}
$$

by using (6). This gives (3). To estimate $\beta_{n}(x, y), n \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\beta_{n}(x, y)\right|= & \left|\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k(t) e^{-i n t} d t\right| \\
\leqslant & |\sinh (\pi y) \sin \pi(n-x) / \pi n| \\
& +\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi_{n}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} k^{\prime}(t) e^{-i n t} d t\right| \\
\leqslant & \left.\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+1+\pi|y|+y^{2}+|x y|\right) e^{\pi|y|}| | n \right\rvert\,
\end{aligned}
$$

by using (8). This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

We also need the following lemma which was proved in [1].
Lemma 2. Let $u(x, y)$ be an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\tau \leqslant \pi$ such that $u(x, 0)$ and $u_{y}(x, 0)$ are both in $L^{p}(-\infty, \infty), 0<p<\infty$. Then $u(x, n)$ satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n \neq 0}\left|\frac{u(n, 0)}{n}\right|<\infty,  \tag{11}\\
& \sum_{n=0}\left|\frac{u_{y}(n, 0)}{n}\right|<\infty,  \tag{12}\\
& u(x, 0)=o(1) \quad \text { and } \quad u_{y}(x, 0)=o(1) \quad \text { as } \quad|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Let $u(x, y)$ satisfy the hypotheses in the theorem. For any compact set $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, Lemma 1 yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u(n, 0) \alpha_{n}(x, y)\right| & \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+|x|+|y|\right) e^{-\pi|y|}\left|\frac{u(n, 0)}{n}\right| \\
& \leqslant C_{K}\left|\frac{u(n, 0)}{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(x, y) \in K$, where $C_{K}<\infty$ is some constant depending only on $K$. A similar estimate holds for $u_{y}(n, 0) \beta_{n}(x, y)$. Hence, by Lemma 2, the series

$$
\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} u(n, 0) \alpha_{n}(x, y)+u_{y}(n, 0) \beta_{n}(x, y)
$$

converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to some entire harmonic function $w(x, y)$. From the same estimates above, it is clear that $w(x, y)$ is of exponential type $\tau \leqslant \pi$. Let $U(x, y)=u(x, y)-w(x, y)$. It is sufficient to prove that $U(x, y) \equiv 0$. Using the proof given in [1], that is, by a consecutive application of the Plancherel-Pólya and the Riemann-Stieltjes theorems, we conclude that $U(x, 0)=U_{y}(x, y)=0$ for all $x$. As in the proof of the proposition, let $h(z)$ be an entire function with $\operatorname{Re} h(z)=U(x, y)$, $z=x+i y$, and set $H(z)=h(z)+\overline{h(\bar{z})}$. Then $H(x)=2 U(x, 0)=0$ for all real $x$, so that $H(z) \equiv 0$. Similarly, let $L(z)=h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{h^{\prime}(\bar{z})}$. Then $L(x)=2 i U_{y}(x, 0)=0$ by using the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Thus, $L(z) \equiv 0$. Write $h(z)=\sum a_{n} z^{n}$. Since $H(z)=h(z)+\overline{h(\bar{z})} \equiv 0$, we have $a_{n}+\bar{a}_{n}=0$ for all $n$. Also, $L(z)=h^{\prime}(z)-\overline{h^{\prime}(\bar{z})} \equiv 0$ implies $n a_{n}-n \bar{a}_{n}=0$ for all $n$. We conclude, therefore, that $a_{0}$ is pure imaginary and $a_{n}=0$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Hence, $U(x, y)=\operatorname{Re} h(z) \equiv 0$, completing the proof of the theorem.

## 3. Final Remarks

By using standard techniques in classical function theory, the uniqueness result in Proposition 1 can be improved. For example, the following uniqueness result is obtained in [6].

Proposition 2. If $u$ is an entire harmonic function of exponential type $\pi$ such that $|u(x, y)|,\left|u_{x}(x, y)\right|,\left|u_{y}(x, y)\right| \leqslant A e^{\pi|(x, y)|}$, and $u(n, 0)=$ $u_{y}(n, 0)=0$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \ldots$, then $u(x, y)=\left(c_{1} e^{\pi y}+c_{2} e^{-\pi y}\right) \sin \pi x$.

Similarly, the techniques used in this paper can be extended to give other related uniqueness and representation results (cf. [6]).
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